WHY ARE SO many people attracted to Bernie Sanders’ campaign? The self-described socialist has won the support of millions. More than 100,000 people were excited enough to attend 3,500 meetings throughout 50 states on July 29.

In August and September Sanders drew huge crowds in Minneapolis, Denver, Madison, Phoenix, Council Bluffs, Seattle (12,000), Portland, Maine, Portland, Oregon (20,000), Los Angeles (27,000) and Boston (somewhere between 25-30,000) By the end of September he’d received donations from 650,000 people —including $25.5 million mostly in small donations in just three months.

On the labor front, he held a conference call of 26,000 union members in September, with 1,350 of them volunteering to work on his campaign.

All this is not because Bernie Sanders is a Democrat. He has spent only five months of his 43-year political career as a nominal member of that party. In the Democratic Party today he remains an isolated outsider, with none of its major leaders offering him any encouragement, let alone political support.

Although Sanders caucuses with the Democrats and almost always votes with them, against the Republicans, before his presidential run he was most famous for being the longest-serving independent in Congress.

He’s introduced legislation for a $15 federal minimum wage, for free college tuition, and for tight financial regulation and reform that the corporate-tied Democratic leadership won’t touch. We disagree with Sanders’ relative silence on war and the military budget (see Open Letter to Sanders Supporters, www.solidarity-us.org/site/node/4509), but whatever his weaknesses, they don’t make him acceptable to the Democratic leadership.

Sanders has the best voting record in Congress as judged by the AFL-CIO, the American Civil Liberties Union, the National Organization for Women, and the NAACP. But that’s not the reason people are “feeling the Bern” — many of his supporters have never been involved in mainstream political organizations. Some are Democrats, some are independents, some are non-voters.

What Bernie enthusiasts have in common is that they are excited and relieved to find, for once, a candidate they don’t have to hold their nose to vote for. They’ve pulled the lever for “lesser evil” corporate Democrats like Hillary Clinton before, against right-wing Republicans, but they’re fed up with the idea that those are the only two choices. Many Sanders supporters despise Clinton’s cynical politics.

They support Sanders because they see him speaking up for society’s economic underdogs. While Hillary Clinton sat on the board of Walmart, Bernie Sanders was marching on union picket lines. Today he stands with those workers fighting for $15 an hour and to get out from under student debt and to form new unions. (https://
He demands that we drive corporate money out of politics, he argues for universal health care and free college. And he was moved by the intervention of the Black Lives Matter movement to make a strong statement on institutional racism and police violence.

It’s anger over our mounting insecurity — and the knowledge that it doesn’t have to be this way — that is fueling the Sanders campaign.

We can view the excitement as a continuation, through electoral politics, of the Occupy Wall Street movement that erupted in 2011, the movement that made inequality the issue of the day. The Occupy message changed the national conversation.

Today it’s Sanders speaking for the 99%, and the spirit of Occupy infuses the Sanders for President movement. He has incorporated Occupy’s grievances into a platform centered on economic justice and against “the billionaire class.”

Sanders’ Labor Support Grows

Bernie Sanders’ supporters in the unions are challenging the labor officialdom’s longstanding, unquestioned, and virtually unconditional support for the Democratic Party leadership and organization.

When President Randi Weingarten and the executive council of the Teachers union endorsed Clinton without any discussion among the members, thousands of AFT members protested. In the other teachers union, the NEA, plans for an early endorsement have ignited a similar rank-and-file firestorm. In the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, member activism has gotten leaders to say they won’t endorse anytime soon.

Labor for Bernie (www.laborforbernie.org), the group that organized the 26,000-person phone call with Sanders, brings together members who have seen their unions disrespected for decades, and who want to challenge both the economic and political state of affairs. They want to challenge their bosses and force the government to recognize their rights, and many are finding that they have to oppose their own union leaders to do so.

A Socialist Inspiration

Sanders has always called himself a “democratic socialist,” but that did not feature so much in the first couple months of his campaign. With every new interview it has become more prominent. The Tea Party’s attack on the Affordable Care Act (Obamacare) as “socialism” was false, but ironically, for the first time in decades, it opened the door to a positive discussion of socialism. In 2014 Kshama Sawant won a seat on the Seattle City Council, showing that once again (as was true 100 years ago) a socialist could win political office in America.

Sanders has made it clear that his model is “social democracy” in the Scandinavian tradition: free health care and education for all; good jobs and wages with excellent unemployment insurance, public housing programs, and a generous conception of the public good and social well-being. “In Denmark,” he says, “it is very hard to become very, very rich, but it’s pretty hard to be very, very poor. And that makes a lot of sense to me.”

Health care and social protections are under attack in Europe, too — but since they started at a higher level, these societies remain far ahead of the United States in the quality of their citizens’ lives. However, these social programs exist within the context of a capitalist system that still creates inequality, exploits labor, and still goes from boom to bust.

In fact, capitalism makes impossible many of the ideals that social democrats themselves aspire to. Militarism, too, can’t coexist with Sanders’ ideals — for one thing, defunding the war apparatus would be a great place to get the money for health care and education.

A Political Revolution

Sanders stresses that the movement is not about him, that a president alone cannot make the change we need. He calls for a “political revolution,” which, he says, means more than “tinkering around the edges of American
society.” It will take, he says, millions and millions of people getting involved.

Today we see movements that are getting people involved: Black Lives Matter, the Fight for $15, the victorious marriage equality campaign, the fight against fracking, the Sanders campaign. All contain new activists who are looking for an understanding of our society and a fresh politics. It is seekers like these who could start an ongoing and independent political movement.

Back in 1988 Jesse Jackson appealed to the movements in his Democratic primary campaign for the presidency — but then dismantled the Rainbow Coalition that had supported him, incorporating his supporters into the Democratic Party.

Sanders’ campaign has the potential for going beyond a futile pressure group within the Democrats. Together with other movements, it could help lay the groundwork for an independent populist political movement. The lesson that Sanders’ supporters should take from the Jesse Jackson and Dennis Kucinich experiences is that realizing this potential will require an exit route from the Democratic Party.

More long-term, the choice is between giving up and going home once election season is over, and staying active in all sorts of movements that challenge politics as usual.

The Democratic Party is not an empty vessel which a movement for “political revolution” can fill with its own ideas and own supporters. If it were possible to take over that party and use it to fight the power, it would have happened earlier — maybe in the 1930s, or in 1972 when antiwar candidate George McGovern was nominated and then deserted by the party establishment.

No, this party is a longstanding institution, a convenient vehicle for perpetuating the dominance of the capitalist class – the banking, hedge fund, and corporate executives who mostly, but not exclusively, favor the Republican option. Both Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton are the darlings of a big section of Wall Street as well as of other capitalists who don’t follow the extreme right wing on social issues. Just follow the money to find the biggest contributors to their campaigns.

The leaders who control the Democratic Party have no intention of allowing Bernie Sanders to get anywhere near the presidential nomination. In the end, they need the votes of his supporters and the energy his campaign generates, but they’ll do whatever is needed to stop his momentum. That could mean rallying around Clinton, finding a substitute corporate candidate in the event that she slips badly, or relying on the super-delegates (elected officials who get to vote at the convention without regard to the outcome of their state’s primary). And if they need to pour on some dirty tricks to extinguish “the Bern,” they won’t hesitate.

What Next?

Young people’s anger over low wages and McJobs, Black people’s anger at police brutality and murder, the awakening working class anger against corporations and corporate government, the labor activism around the Sanders campaign, the opening to socialist ideas, the enthusiasm for a bold economic program, the call for “political revolution” — all indicate the potential for an in-
surgent movement.

But the paradox is that such a movement would need a new political party. How could the Democratic Party, where the levers of power are controlled by banks and corporations, professional politicians and their fundraisers and advertising agencies, ever tolerate a candidate and a campaign that would break their hold?

Sanders’ proposals can never be realized within that party — and Sanders knows it. Why else would he have remained an independent his whole life, until 2015? Why else would he urge a “political revolution”?

Sanders himself has pledged to support the party nominee, but that doesn’t mean his supporters have to go peacefully and become loyal Clinton workers. The new mass political party we need doesn’t exist now, and the Sanders campaign is time-limited. After Bernie’s uprising has been buried by the Democratic Party leadership, the Green Party and its likely presidential candidate Jill Stein will be on the November 2016 ballot running on many of the very same principles that energize the Sanders campaign. Check out her program for economic, social and environmental justice at www.jill2016.com. (We would have been delighted to see Sanders run as an independent, but he has ruled that out.)

For Bernie Sanders’ supporters, Jill Stein’s campaign represents what you’re fighting for right now. The voices of protest and indignation can continue making themselves heard at the ballot box. Around the country, there will be referenda on social justice issues and local independent candidates running as pro-people renegades against the Democratic Party machine. Our support should uncompromisingly follow our political convictions if our “revolution” is going to take off.

The political revolution is all of us — we are the power. More important than any candidate’s electoral campaign is what we do to build movements and organize people to challenge neoliberal policies — and eventually, to challenge capitalism. Join the movement to combat climate change. Fight for $15 an hour. Support Black Lives Matter. Work for universal health care. Make your union into a force that matters, or help organize your workplace. Join those who are fighting to reduce student debt. Oppose the demonization and deportation of immigrants. Join in international solidarity with the victims of imperialism around the globe. To win the aspirations that Bernie represents will take all the movements, in the streets — before, during, and after the elections.
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Interested in Socialism?

SOCIALISM ISN’T A dirty word in the United States anymore — since the financial meltdown and the Great Recession, more and more Americans find it an attractive idea. So do we. Socialism means a new society in which capitalist production is replaced by production to meet human needs, controlled from below by democratic mass organizations from the workplaces to the community to the political institutions. It means a society that won’t need a military-industrial complex, industries that poison the planet’s atmosphere and water to make a buck, corporate executives getting paid tens of millions of dollars while their workers can barely get by. It means a society that won’t be built on massive inequalities, racism and police brutality, sexism and violence against women, and will be one where lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender people will be free — and so will everyone else — to be themselves without facing violence and discrimination. It means we can go about creating sustainable energy and productive systems to prevent environmental catastrophe.

That’s what Solidarity wants to work and fight for. To learn more, visit our website www.solidarity-us.org, write to us at info@solidarity-us.org, and subscribe to the magazine we sponsor, AGAINST THE CURRENT. An introductory subscription is just $18 for one year or $30 for two years. Give us a try!
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